

Survival Models built from Gene Expression Data using Gene Groups as Covariates

Kai Kammers, Jörg Rahnenführer Email: kammers@statistik.uni-dortmund.de

Contents



- Introduction
 - Combination of gene expression data and survival data
- Statistical Models and Methods
 - Cox Model
 - Penalized Regression Models
 - Cross-validation
 - Evaluation criteria and procedure
- Results
 - Penalized package in R
 - Application to leukemia dataset
- Outlook



Goal Prediction of survival times from gene expression data with high level of interpretability of estimated models

Motivation

- Models with good prediction accuracy and parsimony property
- Problem: Number of genes by far larger than number of observations (individuals) (p >> n)
- Use procedures to select genes that are relevant to patient survival and to build a predictive model for future patients
- Classify future patients into clinically relevant high- and low-risk groups based on the gene expression profile and survival times of previous patients



Prediction of survival from expression data

- Many single genes as covariates in survival models
- Dimension reduction through gene selection
- Evaluation of prediction error with suitable measures

Gene group testing

- Define gene groups through Gene Ontology (GO)
- GO groups: Gene expression values are summarized (mean, median, maybe other robust measures)
- Identify significant GO groups:

Analyze and interpret these groups as well as single genes contained in the groups





Cox proportional hazards model for hazard of cancer recurrence or death at time *t*

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(\beta' X)$$

Estimation of the regression coefficients (in classical setting with n > p) by maximizing the log partial likelihood

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i \left[\beta' x_i - \log \left(\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp(\beta' x_j) \right) \right]$$



j=1

Univariate selection

- Fit univariate Cox model for each gene/GO group
- Arrange genes/GO groups according to increasing p-values
- Fit multivariate Cox model using λ top ranked genes/GO groups

Penalized Regression

- Lasso Regression (L1 penalty)
 - Penalized log partial likelihood: $l(eta) \lambda \sum |eta_j|$
- Ridge Regression (L2 penalty)

Penalized log partial likelihood:
$$l(eta) - \lambda \sum_{j=1} eta_j^2$$

For all methods, we choose λ via log partial likelihood cross-validation





Choose tuning parameter λ which maximizes the cross-validated log partial likelihood

$$CVPL(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[l(\hat{\beta}_{(-k)}(\lambda)) - l_{(-k)}(\hat{\beta}_{(-k)}(\lambda)) \right]$$

- $l(\beta)$ log partial likelihood with all subjects
- log partial likelihood when kth fold is left out, k = 1, ..., K
- $l_{(-k)}(\beta)$ $\hat{\beta}_{(-k)}(\lambda)$ Estimate of β obtained by a given prediction method when the *k*th fold is left out

Optimal value of λ is chosen to maximize the sum of the contributions of each fold to the log partial likelihood

Evaluation Criteria



Log rank test

- Assign patients to subgroups based on their prognosis, e.g. into one with 'good' and one with 'bad' prognosis
- Patient *i* in the test set is assigned to the 'bad' group if its prognostic index is above the median of the prognostic indices
- Log rank test: use p-value as an evaluation criterion

Prognostic index

- Prognostic index as a single continuous covariate in a Cox model on the test data set
- Likelihood-ratio test: look at p-value to evaluate a method's performance

Evaluating Procedure



Algorithm (for a fixed prediction method)

- For each of S random splits into training and test data sets
 - Find the optimal tuning parameter
 Â_{train} by K-fold crossvalidation using the training data set
 - Given $\hat{\lambda}_{train}$, estimate the vector of regression coefficients $\hat{\beta}_{train}$ on the whole training data set
 - Calculate the values of the two performance criteria on the test data set

Comparison of performance with boxplots

Dataset: DLBCL data from Rosenwald et al. (2002)

- 7399 gene expression measurements
- 240 patients with diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)

penalized - Package tu technische universität dortmund

- penalized: L1 (lasso) and L2 (ridge) penalized estimation in GLMs and in the Cox model
- A package for fitting possibly high dimensional penalized regression models.
- Penalty structure can be any combination of an L1 penalty (lasso), an L2 penalty (ridge) and a positivity constraint on the regression coefficients.
- Supported regression models are linear, logistic and poisson regression and the Cox Proportional Hazards model.
- Cross-validation routines allow optimization of the tuning parameters.
- Version:0.9-21, 2008-04-25, Author: Jelle Goeman





Lasso Regression - one split - median cutoff



Kai Kammers Survival Models built from Gene Expression Data using Gene Groups as Covariates Dortmund, August 12, 2008 11





Lasso Regression - one split - median cutoff



Kai Kammers Survival Models built from Gene Expression Data using Gene Groups as Covariates Dortmund, August 12, 2008





Test data for genes and GO groups

Lasso Regression - one split - median cutoff



Training data for genes and GO groups

Kai Kammers

Survival Models built from Gene Expression Data using Gene Groups as Covariates Dortm





Log-rank test - 100 random splits into training and test data







Prognostic Index - 100 random splits into training and test data



Outlook



- Additional methods for prediction/evaluation
- Robust measures to summarize gene expression values for one GO group
- Coping with high correlations in GO groups
- Integrate GO graph structure
 - Remove correlations between neighboring GO groups and construct survival models using only significant GO groups
 - Analyze single genes obtained from these GO groups



References

technische universität dortmund

- H. M. Bøvelstad, S. Nygård, H. L. Størvold, M. Aldrin, Ø. Borgan, A. Frigessi and O. C. Lingjaerde: Predicting survival from microarray data a comparative study, *Bioinformatics* 23(16): 2080-2087, 2007
- J. Gui and H. Li: Penalized Cox regression analysis in the high-dimensional and low-sample size settings, with applications to microarray gene expression data, *Bioinformatics* 21(13): 3001-3008, 2005
- A. Gerds and M. Schumacher: Efron-Type Measures of Prediction Error for Survival Analysis, *Biometrics*, Jul 2007
- GO Consortium: The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource, Nucleic Acids Research 32:D258–D261, 2004. Oxford University Press.
- A. Alexa, J. Rahnenführer, T. Lengauer: Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure, *Bioinformatics* 22(13): 1600-1607, 2006
- W. A. Schulz, A. Alexa, V. Jung, C. Hader, M. J. Hoffmann, M. Yamanaka, S. Fritzsche, A. Wlazlinski, M. Müller, T. Lengauer, R. Engers, A. R. Florl, B. Wullich, J. Rahnenführer: Factor interaction analysis for chromosome 8 and DNA methylation alterations highlights innate immune response suppression and cytoskeletal changes in prostate cancer, *Molecular Cancer* 6:14, 2007





All methods - 100 random splits into training and test data

log-rank test prognostic index \odot $^{\circ}$ 9 4 4 4 မှ 9 õ õ 9 9 forw. forw. L1 L2 L1 L2 univ. univ.





10 most significant GO groups (univariate selection, one split)

GO Group	P-value	P-value adjusted	#Genes	Function
01562	0.00049	0.47	3	Response to protozoan
40012	0.00053	0.47	2	Regulation of locomotion
40029	0.00142	0.54	4	Regulation of gene expression, epigenetic
30149	0.00149	0.54	3	Sphingolipid catabolic process
51310	0.00151	0.54	5	Metaphase plate congression
01816	0.00312	0.63	19	Cytokine production
50764	0.00359	0.63	6	Regulation of phagocytosis
21700	0.00363	0.63	11	Development maturation
30282	0.00366	0.63	1	Bone mineralization
02268	0.00370	0.63	7	Fillicular dendritic cell differentiation







Kai Kammers Survival Models built from Gene Expression Data using Gene Groups as Covariates Dortmund, August 12, 2008 20