Models for Replicated Discrimination Tests: A Synthesis of Latent Class Mixture Models and Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Rune Haubo Bojesen Christensen & Per Bruun Brockhoff

DTU Informatics Section for Statistics Technical University of Denmark rhbc@imm.dtu.dk

August 13 2008

Rune H B Christensen (DTU)

Models for Replicated Discrimination Tests

UseR! 2008, Dortmund 1 / 19

ヘロト 不良 トイヨト イヨト

This Talk is About

- A non-standard type of mixed models
- Applicable to a range of discrimination tests
- Focus: Insight into models—not computational methods
- Motivated by examples from sensometrics and psychometrics (but also applicable in signal detection, medical decision making etc.)
- The models extend existing models by
 - Modelling the covariance structure
 - Having a close connection to psychological theory of cognitive decision making
 - Providing inference for individuals via random effect estimates

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





- 2 Models for Independent Data
- 3 Models for Replicated Data
- 4 Examples



- Background

A Replicated Discrimination Test

Example:

- Coke Inc. wants to substitute a sweetener in a diet coke, *A* with a cheaper alternative *B*.
- Coke Inc. employs 30 consumers in a discrimination (triangle) test
- Each consumer performs the test 10 times (replications)
- Can consumers distinguish between the two recipes?

Why Replications?

- Advantages:
 - Cheap and Easy: Substitute some assessors with replications
 - Information on difference between assessors
- Challenges:
 - Observations are often correlated and not independent

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-Background

The Triangle Test

- Two regular products and one new product are presented to the consumer
- Two *A* products (*a*1, *a*2) and one *B*-product (*b*1) are presented to the consumer
- Task: Identify the odd product
- δ = μ_B μ_A: A measure of discriminal ability and difference between products.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

-Background

The Triangle Test



- Answers are binomial: A proportion of correct answers Y_i ~ Bin(π_i; n_i) π₀ ≤ π_i < 1
- Guessing probability: $\pi_0 = 1/3$
- Relation between π_i and δ_i : $\pi_i = f(\delta_i) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \Phi\left(-z\sqrt{3} + \delta\sqrt{2/3}\right) + \Phi\left(-z\sqrt{3} - \delta\sqrt{2/3}\right) \right\} \phi(z) dz$
- Psychometric function: Relates the probability of a correct answer to the ability to discriminate

The Basic (Naive) Model (GLM)

$$Y_i \sim \mathsf{Bin}(\pi_i, n_i) \quad \pi_i = f_{\mathsf{triangle}}(\delta_i) \quad \delta_i = \delta$$

A Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with

- Binomial distribution
- Psychometric function as inverse link function
- Simple linear predictor
- Assumes π and δ identical for all individuals
- Family-object; triangle in package sensR for use with glm
 - Extends discrimination tests to allow for explanatory variables
 - Prepares the way for mixed effect models for discrimination tests

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Models for Replicated Discrimination Tests

- Ignore covariance structure in data
 - Basic GLM
- Marginal Models (adjust se's for overdispersion)
 - quasi-binomial GLM
- Latent Class Mixture model
- Conditional Models (model covariance structure)
 - Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM)
 - Synthesis of Mixture and GLMM

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Models for Replicated Discrimination Tests

- Ignore covariance structure in data
 - Basic GLM
- Marginal Models (adjust se's for overdispersion)
 - quasi-binomial GLM
- Latent Class Mixture model
- Conditional Models (model covariance structure)
 - Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM)
 - Synthesis of Mixture and GLMM

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Latent Class Mixture Models

Two-class model for discriminal ability



- Models for Replicated Data

Generalized Linear Mixed Model

$$b_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\delta}^2) \quad Y_i | b_i \sim \mathsf{Bin}(\pi_i; n_i)$$

$$\pi_i = f_{\text{triangle}}(\delta_i) \quad \delta_i = \delta + b_i$$

- Assumes a continuous distribution for subjects
- Allows for dispersion among subjects
- Subjects can have $\delta < 0!$
- Impossible in the triangle test



- Models for Replicated Data

Generalized Linear Mixed Model

$$b_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\delta}^2) \quad Y_i | b_i \sim \mathsf{Bin}(\pi_i; n_i)$$

$$\pi_i = f_{\text{triangle}}(\delta_i) \quad \delta_i = \delta + b_i$$

- Assumes a continuous distribution for subjects
- Allows for dispersion among subjects
- Subjects can have $\delta < 0!$
- Impossible in the triangle test



An Appropriate Alternative

Latent Class Mixed Model

$$Y_i|b_i \sim \mathsf{Bin}(\pi_i; n_i) \quad \delta_i \sim F(\delta, \sigma_{\delta}^2)$$

$$\pi_i = f_{\text{triangle}}(\delta_i) \quad \delta_i = \delta + b_i$$

$$f(\delta_i) = \begin{cases} 1-p, & \delta_i = 0\\ \frac{1}{\sigma_\delta} \phi\left(\frac{\delta_i - \delta}{\sigma_\delta}\right), & \delta_i > 0 \end{cases}$$

 $p = 1 - \Phi(-\delta/\sigma_{\delta})$

- One-dimensional random effect with two attributes:
 - Class probabilities *p̃*_i
 - The magnitude of discriminal ability õ_i



Estimation in Latent Class Mixed Model

Likelihood function \sim marginal density of y

$$f(y_i) = (1 - p)f_1(y_i) + pf_2(y_i)$$

Likelihood at $\delta_i = 0$: $f_1(y_i) = {n_i \choose y_i} \pi_0^{y_i} (1 - \pi_0)^{(n_i - y_i)}$

Likelihood at $\delta_i > 0$: $f_2(y_i) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^\infty f_\pi(y_i | \delta_i) \phi((\delta_i - \delta) / \sigma_\delta) \sigma_\delta d\delta_i$

where $f_{\pi}(y_i|\delta_i) = {n_i \choose y_i} \pi_i^{y_i} (1 - \pi_i)^{(n_i - y_i)}$

- Define likelihood function as R-function via integrate
- optimize with optim
- Structure motives an EM algorithm

Rune H B Christensen (DTU)

Attenuation Effect

Marginal link function:

$$\begin{split} \pi_m &= E_{\delta_i}[E[y_i|\delta_i]] \\ &= \pi_0(1-p) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty f_{\text{triangle}}(\delta_i)\phi((\delta_i-\delta)/\sigma_\delta)/\sigma_\delta \ d\delta_i \ \pi \end{split}$$

- Marginal estimates are closer to "stationary points" rather than closer to zero
- Marginal link function depends on σ_{δ}^2



-Examples

Example Triangle Data

Model	δ	$se(\delta)$	σ_{δ}	р
Basic (GLM)	1.67	0.186		
Overdisp. GLM	1.67	0.257		
Proposed Model	1.62	0.234	1.08	93.3%

- Difference in estimate of δ (attenuation effect)
- Basic model gives too small se's
- Clear variation between subjects
- Large proportion of discriminators

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

-Examples

Example Triangle Data

Random effect estimates as Conditional expectations:

$$\tilde{\delta}_{i} = E[\delta_{i}|y_{i}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{i}f(\delta_{i}|y_{i})\mathsf{d}\delta_{i}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{i}f(y_{i}|\delta_{i})f(\delta_{i}) \ d\delta_{i}}{f(y_{i})}$$

• One extra integral per individual



Models for Replicated Discrimination Tests

-Examples

Example Triangle Data

Class probabilities as Conditional expectations:

$$\tilde{p}_{i} = E[p_{i}|y_{i}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{i} dF(P_{i}|y_{i})$$
$$= \sum_{P_{i} \in (0,1)} P_{i}f(y_{i}|P_{i})f(P_{i})/f(y_{i})$$
$$= \frac{pf_{2}(y_{i})}{(1-p)f_{1}(y_{i}) + pf_{2}(y_{i})}$$

- Random effects are almost normal
- Expect similar results from GLMM



Rune H B Christensen (DTU)

-Examples

Example: 2-AFC Test

- Random effect estimates: $\tilde{\delta}_i, \tilde{p}_i$
- Clear tail on the left
- Clear lower bound on δ
- Discrete nature of data more clear



A b

Summary

Summary and Challenges

Summary

- Close connection to psychological theory
- Model-type apply to a range of discrimination test protocols (eg. triangle, duo-trio, 2-AFC and 3-AFC)
- A synthesis of Latent Class Mixture Models and GLMMs
- One-dimensional random effects with two attributes
 - Class probabilities *p˜*_i
 - The magnitude of discriminal ability $\tilde{\delta}_i$

Challenges

- Extension to additional
 - fixed effects (changes area of integration)
 - random effects (multi-dimensional integrals)
- Variance of random effect estimates
- Implementation with better computational methods

Acknowledgments

Thanks to the Program Committee

Thanks to Professor Per Bruun Brockhoff

Thank you for listening!

3